



THE STATE OF TEXAS

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

IN THE 357TH DISTRICT

V.

COURT

YORDI BARTHELEMY

CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS

STATE ID No.: TX

## JUDGMENT OF ACQUITTAL BY JURY

|                                                               |                                               |                                             |                                                     |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| Judge Presiding:                                              | HON. JUAN A. MAGALLANES                       | Date Judgment Entered:                      | 5/12/2023                                           |
| Attorney for State:                                           | JOE ARREOLA<br>VICTORIA ARANDA<br>PETE GILMAN | Attorney for Defendant:                     | ED STAPLETON<br>SARA BARRERA STAPLETON<br>NAT PEREZ |
| <u>Charged Offense:</u><br>CAPITAL MURDER OF MULTIPLE PERSONS |                                               |                                             |                                                     |
| <u>Charging Instrument:</u><br>INDICTMENT                     |                                               | <u>Statute for Offense:</u><br>19.03 (a)(7) |                                                     |
| <u>Plea to Offense:</u><br>NOT GUILTY                         |                                               |                                             |                                                     |

All pertinent information, names and assessments indicated above are incorporated into the language of the judgment below by reference.

This cause was called for trial in **Cameron** County, Texas. The State appeared by her District Attorney.

**Counsel / Waiver of Counsel (select one)**

- ☒ Defendant appeared in person with Counsel.  
☐ Defendant knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily waived the right to representation by counsel in writing in open court.

It appeared to the Court that Defendant was mentally competent and had pleaded as shown above to the charging instrument. Both parties announced ready for trial. A jury was selected, impaneled, and sworn. The INDICTMENT was read to the jury, and Defendant entered a plea of **NOT GUILTY** to the charged offense. The Court received the plea and entered it of record.


The jury heard the evidence submitted and the argument of counsel. The Court charged the jury as to its duty to determine the guilt or innocence of Defendant, and the jury retired to consider the evidence. Upon returning to open court, the jury delivered its verdict. The Court received the jury's verdict and ordered the verdict entered of record upon the minutes of the Court as follows:

"We, the Jury, find the defendant **NOT GUILTY**."

The Court **ORDERS, ADJUDGES, AND DECREES** that Defendant is **NOT GUILTY** of the charged offense as FOUND BY THE VERDICT OF THE JURY. The Court **FURTHER ORDERS** Defendant immediately discharged.

Signed and entered on May 12, 2023

X

  
**JUAN A. MAGALLANES**  
JUDGE PRESIDING

Clerk: NORMA

FILED  
2021-DCR-02444  
May 16, 2023 3:31 PM  
**LAURA PEREZ-REYES**  
CAMERON COUNTY DISTRICT CLERK  
BY: Garcia, Norma