

Supreme Court of Texas

No. 25-0988

Clay Moock, Individually, Trisha Moock, Individually, and as
Next Friends of L.M., Minor, and Hannah Moock,

Petitioners,

v.

Energy Transfer LP, Enable Midstream Partners, LP, and
Enable GP, LLC,

Respondents

On Petition for Review from the
Court of Appeals for the Fourteenth District of Texas

PER CURIAM

Justice Devine did not participate in the decision.

Clay Moock worked as an environmental specialist on natural-gas pipelines. When his employer moved to compel arbitration under the Federal Arbitration Act, Moock claimed exemption under 9 U.S.C. § 1, which provides that the FAA does not “apply to contracts of employment of seamen, railroad employees, or any other class of workers engaged in foreign or interstate commerce.” The court of appeals held that Moock

did not fall within the exemption. ___ S.W.3d ___, 2025 WL 1559841, at *10 (Tex. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] June 3, 2025).

After Moock filed his petition for review, the U.S. Supreme Court issued an opinion in *Flowers Foods, Inc. v. Brock*, 146 S. Ct. 1358 (2026), addressing the section 1 exemption. Therefore, without hearing oral argument, TEX. R. APP. P. 59.1, we grant Moock’s petition, vacate the court of appeals’ judgment, and remand the case to the court of appeals so that it may consider the impact, if any, of *Brock*. See TEX. R. APP. P. 60.2(f). We express no view on that question or on the merits of the issues presented.

OPINION DELIVERED: June 26, 2026