

“Appropriate” and “appropriation” mean to acquire or otherwise exercise control over property other than real property. Appropriation of property is unlawful if it is without the owner's effective consent.

“Property” means tangible or intangible personal property or a document, including money that represent or embody anything of value.

“Deprive” means to withhold property from the owner permanently or for so extended a period of time that a major portion of the value or enjoyment of the property is lost to the owner.

“Effective consent” means assent in fact, whether express or apparent, and includes consent by a person legally authorized to act for the owner. Consent is not effective if induced by force, threats, deception or coercion.

“Owner” means a person who has a greater right to possession of the property than the defendant.

“Possession” means actual care, custody, control, or management of the property.

“Bodily Injury” means physical pain, illness, or any impairment of the physical condition, including death.

“Serious Bodily Injury” means bodily injury that creates a substantial risk of death, or that causes death, serious permanent disfigurement, or protracted loss or impairment of the function of any bodily member or organ.

“Deadly weapon” means a firearm or anything that in the manner of its use or intended use was capable of causing death or serious bodily injury.

“ Attempt” to commit an offense occurs if, with the specific intent to commit an offense, a person does an act amounting to more than mere preparation that tends, but fails, to effect the commission of the offense intended.

2.

A person acts intentionally, or with intent, with respect to a result of his conduct when it is his conscious objective or desire to cause the result.

A person acts knowingly, or with knowledge, with respect to a result of his conduct when he is aware that his conduct is reasonably certain to cause the result.

A person acts recklessly, or is reckless, with respect to circumstances surrounding his conduct or the result of his conduct when he is aware of but consciously disregards a substantial

and unjustifiable risk that the circumstances exist or the result will occur. The risk must be of such a nature and degree that its disregard constitutes a gross deviation from the standard of care that an ordinary person would exercise under all circumstances as viewed from the actor's standpoint.

All persons are parties to an offense who are guilty of acting together in the commission of an offense. A person is criminally responsible as a party to an offense if the offense is committed by his own conduct, by the conduct of another for which he is criminally responsible, or by both. Each party to an offense may be charged with the commission of the offense.

A person is criminally responsible for an offense committed by the conduct of another, if acting with intent to promote or assist the commission of the offense, he solicits encourages, directs, aids or attempts to aid the other person to commit the offense. Mere presence alone will not constitute one a party to an offense.

If, in the attempt to carry out a conspiracy to commit one felony, another felony is committed by one of the conspirators, all conspirators are guilty of the felony actually committed, though having no intent to commit it, if the offense was committed in furtherance of the unlawful purpose and was one that should have been anticipated as a result of the carrying out of the conspiracy.

A person commits criminal conspiracy if with intent that a felony be committed he agrees with one or more persons that they or one or more of them engage in conduct that would constitute the offense and he or one or more of them performs an overt act in pursuance of the agreement.

An agreement constituting a conspiracy may be inferred from acts of the parties.

3.

Now if you find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that on or about the May 1, 2015, in Hidalgo County, Texas, Edwin Salinas or Carlos Palacios or both intentionally caused the death of an individual, namely Lazaro Alejandro Martinez Esparza, by shooting him with a firearm, and said Edwin Salinas or Carlos Palacios or both were then and there in the course of committing or attempting to commit the offense of aggravated robbery of Lazaro Alejandro Martinez Esparza, and HECTOR JAVIER RODRIGUEZ ALVARADO did then and there acting with intent to promote or assist in the commission of said Capital Murder did encourage, aid or

attempt to aid Edwin Salinas or Carlos Palacios or both to commit the Capital Murder then you will find the Defendant, HECTOR JAVIER RODRIGUEZ ALVARADO, guilty of CAPITAL MURDER .

OR

Now if you find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that on or about the May 1, 2015, in Hidalgo County, Texas, the Defendant, HECTOR JAVIER RODRIGUEZ ALVARADO, did then and there, enter into a conspiracy with Edwin Salinas and/or Carlos Palacios to commit the felony offense of Aggravated Robbery of Lazaro Alejandro Martinez Esparza, and in furtherance of the unlawful purpose of said conspiracy one or more of the coconspirators did intentionally cause the death of an individual, namely Lazaro Alejandro Martinez Esparza, by shooting him with a firearm, and said killing should have been anticipated as a result of carrying out the conspiracy, then you will find the Defendant, HECTOR JAVIER RODRIGUEZ ALVARADO, guilty of CAPITAL MURDER.

4.

If you find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that on or about MAY 1, 2015, in Hidalgo County, Texas, the Defendant, HECTOR JAVIER RODRIGUEZ ALVARADO, is guilty, as a party as defined above of intentionally or knowingly causing the death of Lazaro Alejandro Martinez Salinas by shooting him with a firearm, but you have a reasonable doubt as to whether the Defendant was then and there engaged in the commission of aggravated robbery or attempted aggravated robbery of Lazaro Alejandro Martinez Salinas at the time of the said killing, if any, then you will find the Defendant, HECTOR JAVIER RODRIGUEZ ALVARADO, guilty of Murder, but not Capital Murder,

OR

If you find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that on or about MAY 1, 2015, in Hidalgo County, Texas, the Defendant, HECTOR JAVIER RODRIGUEZ ALVARADO, is guilty as a party as that term has been previously defined of knowingly causing the death of Lazaro Alejandro Martinez Esparza by shooting him with a firearm, but you have a reasonable doubt as to whether the murder of Lazaro Alejandro Martinez Esparza was with intent or intentionally committed, as the term "with intent and intentionally" have been defined herein,

then you will find the Defendant guilty of Murder, but not Capital Murder, regardless of whether you find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that Defendant was then and there in the course of committing or attempting to commit the offense of aggravated robbery.

You are instructed that if you find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that the Defendant is guilty of Capital Murder or of Murder under these instructions, but you have a reasonable doubt as to which offense he is guilty, then you should resolve that doubt in favor of the Defendant and, in such event, you will find the Defendant, HECTOR JAVIER RODRIGUEZ ALVARADO, guilty of MURDER.

Unless you unanimously find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that the Defendant is guilty of Capital Murder, and unless you unanimously find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of Murder, as defined herein, or if you have a reasonable doubt thereof, you will acquit the Defendant of Capital Murder and Murder, and say by your verdict "Not Guilty".

5.

You are instructed that the Defendant may be convicted of only one of the offenses defined in these instructions, to wit: Capital Murder or Murder, and that the defendant can be convicted only as to that offense, if any, which is proved beyond a reasonable doubt.

6.

You are instructed that you may consider all relevant facts and circumstances surrounding the killing, if any, and the previous relationship existing between the accused and the deceased, if any, together with all relevant facts and circumstances going to show the condition of the mind of the accused at the time of the shooting in question, if any.

7.

Our law provides that a Defendant may testify in his own behalf if he elects to do so. This, however, is a privilege accorded a Defendant, and in the event he elects not to testify, that fact cannot be taken as a circumstance against him. In this case, the Defendant has elected not to testify, and you are instructed that you cannot and must not refer or allude to that fact throughout your deliberations or take it into consideration for any purpose whatsoever as a circumstance against the Defendant.

8.

A grand jury indictment is the means whereby a Defendant is brought to trial in a felony prosecution. It is not evidence of guilt nor can it be considered by you in passing upon the issue of guilt of the Defendant.

The burden of proof in all criminal cases rests upon the State throughout the trial, and never shifts to the Defendant.

All persons are presumed to be innocent and no person may be convicted of an offense unless each element of the offense is proved beyond a reasonable doubt. The fact that a person has been arrested, confined, or indicted for, or otherwise charged with the offense gives rise to no inference of guilt at his trial. The law does not require a Defendant to prove his innocence or produce any evidence at all. The presumption of innocence alone is sufficient to acquit the Defendant, unless the jurors are satisfied beyond a reasonable doubt of the Defendant's guilt after careful and impartial consideration of all the evidence in the case.

The prosecution has the burden of proving the Defendant guilty and it must do so by proving each and every element of the offense charged beyond a reasonable doubt and if it fails to do so, you must acquit the Defendant.

It is not required that the prosecution prove guilt beyond all possible doubt; it is required that the prosecution's proof excludes all "reasonable doubt" concerning the Defendant's guilt.

In the event you have a reasonable doubt as to the Defendant's guilt after considering all the evidence before you, and these instructions, you will acquit Defendant and say by your verdict "Not Guilty".

You are the exclusive judges of the facts proved, of the credibility of the witnesses and the weight to be given their testimony. You will be governed by the law you shall receive in these written instructions.

When you retire to the jury room, you should first select one of your members as Presiding Juror. It is the Presiding Juror's duty to preside at your deliberations, vote with you, and when you have unanimously agreed upon a verdict, to certify to your verdict by using the appropriate form attached thereto, and signing the same as Presiding Juror.

In order to return a verdict, each juror must agree thereto, but jurors have a duty to consult with each other and to deliberate with a view of reaching an agreement, if it can be done without violence to individual judgment.

Each juror must decide the case for himself or herself, but only after an impartial consideration of the evidence with his or her fellow jurors.

In the course of deliberations, a juror should not hesitate to re-examine his or her own views and change his or her opinion if convinced it is erroneous. However, no juror should surrender his or her honest conviction as to the weight or effect of the evidence solely because of the opinion of his or her fellow jurors, or for the mere purpose of returning a verdict.

During your deliberations in this case, you must not consider, discuss nor relate any matters not in evidence before you. You should not consider nor mention any personal knowledge or information you may have about any fact or person connected with this case which is not shown by the evidence.

You should not discuss or consider punishment for the offense charged for any purpose. You must concern yourselves solely with the question of guilt or innocence of the Defendant under these written instructions without regard to any possible punishment imposed by law for the offense charged.

During your deliberations, you are instructed that you should not consider the remarks, rulings or actions of the presiding judge during this trial as any indication of the Court's opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the Defendant. The remarks, rulings and actions of the presiding judge were upon matters of the law only and were not upon the facts which you and you alone, must determine.

While you are deliberating, no one has authority to communicate with you except the officer who has you in charge being the Bailiff. However, after you have retired, you may

communicate with the Court as to any questions you may have, but that communication must be in writing through the officer of the Court.


When you have reached a verdict you will notify the bailiff in writing, as to reaching a verdict, but not what the verdict is.

Do not let bias, prejudice, or sympathy play any part in your deliberations.

Your verdict must be unanimous, and after you have reached a unanimous verdict, the

Presiding Juror will certify thereto by signing the appropriate form attached to this charge.

Filed on this the 22 day of June, 2018, at 10:30 o'clock a.m./ p.m.



JAIME GARZA
JUDGE PRESIDING
AUXILLARY COURT
HIDALGO COUNTY, TEXAS