

Received

OCT 21 2025

Office of Court
Administration

7/18/2025 3:37:15 PM
Marilyn Burgess - District Clerk
Harris County
Envelope No: 103327675
By: HERNANDEZ, JOANNA E
Filed: 7/18/2025 3:37:15 PM

Pgs-3

CAUSE NO. 2025-45397

RAMESH KAPUR

v.

**ROBERT BERLETH INDIVIDUALLY
AND FORMER RECEIVER AND
AGENT FOR WILCREST PARK
TOWNHOMES ASSOC. INC.**

§ IN THE DISTRICT COURT

§

§

§

§ 151ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

§

§

§ HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

SDD

PC

11A

**ORDER DISMISSING CASE UNDER RULE 91a and
DECLARING RAMESH H. KAPUR A VEXATIOUS LITIGANT**

ON THIS DAY came on to be heard the Receiver's Rule 91a Motion to Dismiss and Motion to Declare Vexatious Litigant. After considering the Receiver's Motion, Plaintiff's response, relevant statutory and case law, and the arguments of counsel, this Court is of the opinion that the Motion to Dismiss and Motion to Declare Vexatious Litigant should in all things be GRANTED.

The Court FINDS:

- 1) The facts set forth and the Plaintiff's claims for Breach of Fiduciary Duty, Civil Conspiracy, Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress, Negligence, Respondent Superior, Theft, and Conversion have no basis in law and do not entitle Mr. Kapur to the relief sought.
- 2) The above-styled suit should be dismissed under TEX. R. CIV. P. 91a.
- 3) Pursuant to TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE §11.054, in the seven-year period immediately preceding the Receiver's Motion to Declare Vexatious Litigant, RAMESH H. KAPUR ("Mr. Kapur") has commenced, prosecuted, or maintained at least five litigations, including appeals, as a *pro se* litigant that have been finally determined adversely to him.
- 4) Because of the Receiver's quasi-judicial immunity, that this court does not have subject-matter jurisdiction over another court's receiver, and that the Receiver simply followed normal procedures in accordance with a valid

judicial order, there is not a reasonable probability Mr. Kapur will prevail in the above-styled litigation.

- 5) The Court takes judicial notice of the extensive litigation history of Mr. Kapur noted in the Receiver's response, and that this is at least the second such motion seeking to have Mr. Kapur declared a vexatious litigant to be brought against him in the 151st District Court of Harris County, Texas.

It is therefore ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that:

- 6) RAMESH H. KAPUR is hereby found to be and declared a Vexatious Litigant pursuant to TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE §11.101.
- 7) In accordance with TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE §11.101-102, Mr. Kapur is hereby PROHIBITED from filing, prosecuting, commencing, or appealing any new litigation in any court or appellate court in the State of Texas *in propria persona* (pro se) without express prior written permission from the appropriate local administrative judge of that venue. Failure to follow this procedure may subject Mr. Kapur to contempt of this Court.
 - a. This Order extends to any d/b/a of RAMESH H. KAPUR, and specifically includes AIC Management Company, filing number B587870 in the records of the Harris County Clerk.
 - b. This order does not prohibit attorneys licensed by the State Bar of Texas from filing suit on Mr. Kapur's personal behalf.
 - c. This Order extends to any business entity in which Mr. Kapur is listed as an owner, director, manager, or member owning more than 25% of the entity.
- 8) Pursuant to TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE §11.104, the Clerk is ordered to report RAMESH H. KAPUR as a Vexatious Litigant to the Texas Judicial Branch Office of Court Administration in accordance with normal procedures. The name RAMESH H. KAPUR shall be admitted to the Texas list of Vexatious Litigants.

- 9) Under Rule 91a.7, the Receiver is awarded attorney's fees in the amount of \$ 0, against Plaintiff, RAMESH H. KAPUR, the Judgment Debtor cause no. 153-304798-18, styled *Alliance Legal Solutions, LLC v. The Kapur-Ysasaga Firm, LLP, et. al*, in the 153RD Judicial District Court in and for Tarrant County, Texas. The clerk may issue writ in enforcement.
- 10) This is a final, appealable judgment. Any party wishing to appeal this order must post an appellate security bond in the amount of \$ 10,000.00 prior to filing such appeal.
- 11) The above-styled cause number is hereby and, in all things, DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE under TEX. R. CIV. P. 91a.1.
- 12) Any further relief or other pending motion not expressly granted herein is denied.

SIGNED AND ENTERED: _____

Signed: Erica R. Hughes
10/15/2025

Hon. Erica R. Hughes
JUDGE PRESIDING

####END OF ORDER####