

THE SUPREME COURT OF TEXAS

Orders Pronounced September 13, 2024

ORDERS ON CAUSES

THE FOLLOWING CAUSE IS RESET FOR ORAL ARGUMENT:

23-0756 BRP-ROTAX GMBH & CO. KG v. SHEEMA SHAIK AND TOUSEEF SIDDIQUI; from Dallas County; 5th Court of Appeals District (05-22-00943-CV, ___ SW3d ___, 08-04-23) unopposed motion to reset oral argument granted

[**Note**: This cause has been reset for oral argument at 9:00 a.m., December 4, 2024.]

Time allotted to argue: 20/20 minutes

ORDERS ON CASES GRANTED

THE FOLLOWING PETITION FOR REVIEW IS GRANTED:

23-0096 KAMILAH TODD v. SOUTHERN METHODIST UNIVERSITY AND GRETA A. DAVIS, AN INDIVIDUAL; from Dallas County; 5th Court of Appeals District (05-21-00702-CV, ___ SW3d ___, 11-14-22) agreed motion to lift abatement and dismiss appeal, being treated as petition for review, granted as follows:

Pursuant to Texas Rule of Appellate Procedure 56.3, without considering the merits, the Court grants the petition for review, vacates the judgments of the court of appeals and trial court and remands the case to the trial court for rendition of judgment in accordance with the parties' settlement agreement.

ORDERS ON PETITIONS FOR REVIEW

THE FOLLOWING PETITIONS FOR REVIEW ARE DENIED:

24-0225 MANSION PARTNERS, LTD. v. HARRIS COUNTY APPRAISAL DISTRICT, APPRAISAL REVIEW BOARD OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS; from Harris County; 1st Court of Appeals District (01-21-00306-CV, SW3d , 12-28-23) 24-0374 IN THE INTEREST OF T.S., L.S., AND D.S., CHILDREN; from Coryell County; 10th Court of Appeals District (10-23-00311-CV, ___ SW3d ___, 03-21-24) 24-0443 MARIO OROZCO RANGEL v. AMY LEDGISTER; from Denton County; 2nd Court of Appeals District (02-23-00319-CV, SW3d , 04-18-24) 24-0459 JOHN STEPHEN VANDERBOL III v. JENSEN ELAINE PAIGE VANDERBOL; from Cooke County; 2nd Court of Appeals District (02-23-00230-CV, SW3d , 05-02-24) 24-0496 ANNABELLE LOPEZ v. NAYELY HERRERA; from Hidalgo County; 13th Court of Appeals District (13-22-00508-CV, SW3d , 05-09-24) 24-0626 DK MEDIA GROUP INC. v. THE KOREA TIMES MEDIA INC. AND YUNJU KYOUNG; from Dallas County; 5th Court of Appeals District (05-24-00198-CV, SW3d , 06-25-24) 24-0697 IN THE INTEREST OF E.C.C., A CHILD; from Hidalgo County; 13th Court of Appeals District (13-24-00152-CV, SW3d , 07-11-24) counsel's motion to withdraw granted

24-0700	C.G. v. TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF FAMILY AND PROTECTIVE SERVICES; from Comal County; 3rd Court of Appeals District (03-24-00329-CV, SW3d, 07-30-24) counsel's motion to withdraw granted
24-0728	IN THE INTEREST OF J.L.E.F. AND B.Z.F., CHILDREN; from Bexar County; 4th Court of Appeals District (04-24-00102-CV, SW3d, 07-17-24)
ORDERS ON MOTIONS FOR REHEARING	
THE MOTIONS FOR REHEARING OF THE FOLLOWING PETITIONS FOR REVIEW ARE DENIED:	
24-0415	JORGE L. QUINTANA, SR. v. TANYA HOLZHAUS, IN HER INDIVIDUAL AND OFFICIAL CAPACITIES, AND STEWART TITLE COMPANY; from Medina County; 4th Court of Appeals District (04-23-00599-CV, SW3d, 03-13-24)
	(Justice Devine not participating)
24-0449	DELESTER SCOTT v. STEVEN MAISOS; from Harris County; 14th Court of Appeals District (14-24-00160-CV, SW3d, 03-28-24)
MISCELLANEOUS	
	THE FOLLOWING CASE IS REINSTATED:

24-0203 IN RE CITY OF HOUSTON; from Harris County; 14th Court of Appeals District (14-24-00193-CV, ___ SW3d ___, 03-15-24) abatement order issued June 21, 2024, lifted mandamus reinstated

[Note: The petition for writ of mandamus, as reinstated, remains pending before this Court.]

A STAY IS ISSUED IN THE FOLLOWING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS:

23-1040 IN RE MAGDOLINE ELHINDI; from Harris County; 14th Court of Appeals District (14-23-00828-CV, ___ SW3d ___, 12-14-23)
relator's third motion for temporary relief granted stay order issued

[Note: The petition for writ of mandamus remains pending before this Court.]

THE MOTION TO STAY IN THE FOLLOWING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS IS DISMISSED:

24-0255 IN RE NEWKIRK LOGISTICS, INC.; from Tarrant County; 2nd Court of Appeals District (02-24-00027-CV, ___ SW3d ___, 03-11-24) relator's emergency motion for temporary relief dismissed as moot

[Note: The petition for writ of mandamus remains pending before this Court.]