

IN THE SUPREME COURT  
OF THE  
STATE OF TEXAS

---

MISC. DOCKET NO. 92-0010

---

AMENDED ORDER FOR IMPLEMENTATION  
OF THE  
TEXAS RULES OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEDURE

---

On this day came on to be considered the Petition of the State Bar of Texas for an Amended Order for Implementation of the Texas Rules of Disciplinary Procedure ("Rules"). The Court having considered the Petition on file herein, and being of the opinion that same is well taken.

It is therefore ORDERED that Paragraph 9 of the Order for Implementation of the Texas Rules of Disciplinary Procedure entered February 26, 1991, be amended to read as follows:

9. The Commission is directed to implement as soon as practical Part VI, Public Information and Access and Part XIV, Interim Suspension. The implementation of Part VI does not repeal nor supersede any of the current State Bar Rules in event of conflict. The Commission is further directed that Part II, The District Grievance Committees and Part III, Trial De Novo in District Court shall not be implemented and the entire Rules promulgated until May 1, 1992.

It is further ORDERED that all other provisions of the February 26, 1991, Order remain in effect as written.

It is further ORDERED that on May 1, 1992, the entire Texas Rules of Disciplinary Procedure will become effective.

By the Court, en banc, in chambers, on this 9<sup>th</sup> day of October, 1991.

Thomas R. Phillips  
Thomas R. Phillips, Chief Justice

Raul A. Gonzalez  
Raul A. Gonzalez, Justice

Oscar H. Mauzy  
Oscar H. Mauzy, Justice

Eugene Cook  
Eugene Cook, Justice

Jack Hightower  
Jack Hightower, Justice

Nathan L. Hecht  
Nathan L. Hecht, Justice

Lloyd Doggett  
Lloyd Doggett, Justice

John Cornyn  
John Cornyn, Justice

Bob Gammage  
Bob Gammage, Justice