

**Affirmed and Opinion filed October 21, 1999.**



**In The**

**Fourteenth Court of Appeals**

-----  
**NO. 14-99-00164-CR**

**NO. 14-99-00165-CR**  
-----

**BALMORE ESCAMILLA, Appellant**

**V.**

**THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee**

---

**On Appeal from the 209th District Court  
Harris County, Texas  
Trial Court Cause No. 779,173 and 781,075**

---

**OPINION**

Baltimore Escamilla (Appellant) was indicted for the felony offenses of aggravated sexual assault and aggravated kidnapping. Appellant pleaded guilty to each offense and was sentenced by the trial court to sixty years' confinement for his aggravated sexual assault offense and twenty years' confinement for his aggravated kidnapping offense. Appellant gave timely notice of appeal. We consolidated Appellant's respective appeals.

Appellant's appointed appellate counsel filed a brief in which he concludes that the appeals are wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967), by presenting a

professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807, 809 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and to file a *pro se* brief. As of this date, no *pro se* brief has been filed and the time permitted to file such a brief has expired.

We agree the appeals are wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. A discussion of the brief would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the State.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed October 21, 1999.

Panel consists of Justices Yates, Fowler, and Frost.

Do not publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).