

Affirmed and Opinion filed May 18, 2000.



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-99-00526-CR

MICHAEL ANTHONY SPERMAN, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

**On Appeal from the 262nd District Court
Harris County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. 800,862**

O P I N I O N

Appellant was charged by indictment with the felony offense of theft as a third offender. The indictment contained two enhancement paragraphs alleging two prior felony convictions. A jury found appellant guilty of theft and found he had twice been previously convicted of the felony offense of theft. After finding both enhancement paragraphs true, the jury assessed punishment at confinement in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Corrections for twenty years and assessed a fine of \$5000.00.

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a brief in which he concludes that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and to file a *pro se* response. As of this date, no *pro se* response has been filed.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. A discussion of the brief would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the State.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed and the motion to withdraw is granted.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed May 18, 2000.

Panel consists of Chief Justice Murphy and Justices Hudson and Wittig.

Do Not Publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).