

**Affirmed and Opinion filed March 8, 2001.**

**In The**  
**Fourteenth Court of Appeals**

---

**NO. 14-98-00860-CR**

---

**IGNACIO C. RIOS, Appellant**

**V.**

**THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee**

---

---

**On Appeal from the 174th District Court  
Harris County, Texas  
Trial Court Cause No. 715,098**

---

---

**OPINION**

Appellant entered a plea of guilty without a recommendation from the State to the felony offense of attempted murder. The court deferred adjudication of guilt and placed appellant on probation for ten years. Subsequently, the court adjudicated appellant's guilt and sentenced him to confinement for twenty years in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice.

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a motion to withdraw from representation of appellant along with a supporting brief in which he concludes that the appeal is wholly

frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and to file a *pro se* response. As of this date, no *pro se* response has been filed.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. A discussion of the brief would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the State.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed and the motion to withdraw is granted.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed March 8, 2001.

Panel consists of Justices Yates, Fowler and Wittig.

Do Not Publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).