

Affirmed and Opinion filed February 3, 2000.



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-99-00686-CR

WILFORD NATHANIEL PETERSON, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

**On Appeal from the 122nd District Court
Galveston County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. 98CR1957**

OPINION

Appellant was charged by indictment with the felony offense of assault on a public servant, enhanced with two prior felony convictions. Appellant entered a plea of not guilty and a jury found appellant guilty as charged in the indictment. After appellant pled true to the enhancement paragraphs, the court found both enhancement paragraphs true, and assessed punishment at confinement in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice for twenty-five years.

Appellant's court appointed counsel filed a motion to withdraw from representation of appellant along with a supporting brief in which he concludes that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and to file a *pro se* response. As of this date, appellant has not responded.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. A discussion of the brief would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the State.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed February 3, 2000.

Panel consists of Justices Yates, Fowler, and Edelman.

Do Not Publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).