

SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF TEXAS

R. CHRIS DAY DISTRICT JUDGE 135 S. Main Street Rusk, Texas 75785 (903) 683-2236

January 6, 2021

Judge Alfonso Charles Presiding Judge, 10th Administrative Judicial Region 101 East Methvin Street, Suite 447 Longview, Texas 75601

Re: Re-Certification of In-Person Operating Plan

Dear Judge Charles:

As required by the Supreme Court's Emergency Orders and Guidance from the Office of Court Administration, I include here the required re-certification of Cherokee County's In-Person Operating Plan.

I have consulted with the local public health authority regarding the local pandemic conditions and have reviewed with the health authority the previously-submitted in-person operating plan to determine whether the plan provides sufficient health and safety protocols to permit in-person proceedings.¹ The local public health authority has determined that:

- Local pandemic conditions are conducive to in-person proceedings under the precautions and protocols contained in the previously-submitted in-person operating plan as long as the below criteria are used to determine when an in-person proceeding is necessary and when all reasonable efforts do not permit the proceeding to be conducted remotely;
- □ Local pandemic conditions are conducive to in-person proceedings with modifications to the precautions and protocols in the previously-submitted in-person operating plan;²
- □ Local pandemic conditions are not currently conducive to in-person proceedings under the precautions and protocols contained in the previously-submitted in-person operating plan.

¹ See Exhibit A Attached hereto.

² If it is determined that the previously-submitted in-person operating plan needs to be modified, the local administrative district judge or presiding judge of the municipal court should submit the modified plan after following the process detailed on p. 3 of the <u>Guidance for All Court Proceedings During COVID-19 Pandemic</u>.

In addition, I have conferred with the judges of the courts with courtrooms in Cherokee County buildings and have determined that the following criteria will be used to determine when an in-person proceeding is necessary and when all reasonable efforts do not permit the proceeding to be conducted remotely:

All judges in Cherokee County, before conducting an in-person hearing shall first determine if an in-person hearing is necessary by following this procedure:

- 1. The judge shall inform each counsel and pro se litigant that the hearing shall be conducted remotely over Zoom. The judge shall provide each counsel and pro se litigant a phone number or email address to inform the judge if they believe any counsel, their clients, a pro se litigant, any witness, an interpreter, or any other participant (all referred to below as "Participant") cannot participate remotely. The judge or judge's staff shall then determine whether a Participant is unable to participate in the hearing due to any one or more of the following:
 - a. lack of technology which precludes or impedes their ability to participate in the hearing via the Zoom videoconferencing app. Examples of the lack of such technology include:
 - i. lack of access to a computer tablet or other device with internet video capability;
 - ii. lack of access to a cell phone; or
 - iii. lack of access to an internet connection.
 - b. A physical, mental, or other disability that prevents a Participant from being able to effectively operate or utilize the required technology. Examples of such a disability include:
 - i. a physical or mental disability that precludes them from effectively operating the technology necessary to access the Zoom videoconferencing app;
 - ii. a physical disability that precludes them from effectively seeing, hearing, or otherwise participating in a Zoom video hearing;
 - iii. the lack of or unavailability of an interpreter who can assist the individual in communicating during a Zoom hearing;³
 - iv. incarceration and the incarcerating facility's lack of technological resources or facilities to allow the inmate to participate remotely in the hearing or confer privately with the inmate's legal counsel;⁴ or
 - v. if the proceeding is in a specialty court defined by Title 2, Subtitle K of the Texas Government Code (e.g. veteran's court, mental health court, drug court, etc.), the specialty court team determines that there is a risk to the physical or mental wellbeing of a participant in the specialty court program if the proceeding is not held in person.
 - c. A confrontation clause constitutional objection is raised by criminal defense counsel or a pro se litigant, and the judge sustains the objection after conducting a $Haggard^5$ analysis.
 - d. A proceeding where one Participant needs to appear in person due to a need to provide fingerprints, is subject to incarceration, or must meet with multiple departments as a result of the court proceeding, in which case that party may need to appear while the other parties appear remotely.

³ If a Spanish interpreter is needed, please consider using OCA's free Spanish interpretation service. More information and scheduling options is available at <u>https://www.txcourts.gov/tcris/</u>.

⁴ If the facility is a TDCJ facility, judges should contact <u>coronavirus@txcourts.gov</u> to see if OCA can assist with getting the facility connected with the court.

⁵ Haggard v. State, 2020 WL 7233672 (Tex. Crim. App. 2020).

2. If an individual is unable to participate for one of these reasons, prior to holding an in-person hearing, the judge shall make reasonable efforts to accommodate the individual as set forth in the procedure below.

When an individual is found to be unable to participate in a Zoom videoconference for one of the reasons stated above, prior to holding an in-person hearing, the judge considering the in-person hearing shall make all reasonable efforts to make accommodations that will allow the individual(s) to participate. The accommodations that the judges in Cherokee County shall consider include:

- 1. When an individual does not have adequate technological resources on their own to participate in a Zoom videoconferencing hearing, a judge shall:
 - a. determine whether the court has the ability to provide the individual with a laptop or other device which would allow the individual to participate in the hearing from some segregated location within the court facility while following appropriate COVID-19 precautions and protocols;
 - b. determine if such technological resources can be provided to the individual by some other source (e.g. a participating attorney, a party, a family member, friend, public library, or an appropriate agency of the State of Texas); and
 - c. determine whether the individual could participate in a meaningful manner by telephone (audio only).
- 2. When an individual has physical or mental disabilities that would prevent the individual from operating the technology required, a judge shall:
 - a. determine if the individual has legal counsel, family or friends who can assist in operating the required technology; and
 - b. inquire as to what, if any, accommodations could be made which would allow the individual with a disability to participate.
- 3. When an individual is incarcerated, a judge shall:
 - a. determine whether the facility has the technological resources or facilities to allow the incarcerated individual to participate in the hearing;
 - b. if the facility does not have the technological resources to allow the inmate to participate in a Zoom videoconference, determine whether the inmate could participate in a meaningful manner by telephone (audio only).
- 4. When an individual is otherwise unable to participate in a hearing via videoconference or by audio only, a judge shall determine whether the individual can effectively participate in the proceeding by a sworn statement made out of court as permitted by the Emergency Orders of the Supreme Court of Texas.
- 5. If no accommodation is available, the judge shall determine if a continuance is warranted, balancing the risk to public health and safety with the need to resolve the particular case.
- 6. If no accommodation is available and the judge determines a continuance is not warranted, the judge may permit the hearing to occur in-person under the precautions and protocols in the approved in-person operating plan.

Having completed the required re-certification, I am submitting it to you in your role as Regional Presiding Judge. I understand and have communicated to the judges with courtrooms in county facilities that no in-person hearings will be permitted on or after January 11 until I receive an acknowledgement from you that the re-certification meets the requirements of OCA's Guidance.

Sincerely,

) CLT

Judge R. Chris Day Local Administrative District Judge Cherokee County

RCD/ll Enclosures

Chris,

Sorry I didn't see this earlier. As I read back through the plan, I feel it still contains the appropriate information and procedures that apply to the current situation. In saying that, I have checked with the local hospitals. One is 50% Covid patients and the other is 75% Covid patients. I do anticipate a surge after the Christmas Holidays. That being said, I think court proceedings should be virtual if at all possible for the next 2-3 weeks. If hospital capacity improves significantly, then in person court proceedings could resume following the protocols in place. If you have any questions, don't hesitate to contact me as I will be vigilant in looking for them. I hope this helps and that you and your family are well.

Best Regards,

James Low Cherokee County Health Authority

-----Original Message-----From: distcrt2nd@cocherokee.org <distcrt2nd@cocherokee.org> To: Etgardener@aol.com <Etgardener@aol.com> Sent: Wed, Dec 30, 2020 9:16 am Subject: Re: Re-certification of COVID-19 Operating Plans

Jimmy,

please I need a quick reply to whether you recommend any changes to our COVID-19 Operating Plan or if it's ok as is. Please call if you have any questions or concerns.

Chris

Judge R. Chris Day 2nd Judicial District Court Cherokee County Courthouse 135 S. Main Street Rusk, Texas 75785 (903) 683-2236 (903) 683-2238 (fax)

On Dec 22, 2020, at 8:07 AM, distcrt2nd@cocherokee.org wrote:

Jimmy, have you had a chance to look at this? I need to know if you recommend any changes to our COVID-19 Operating Plan, or if it is still good to go for 2021. Thanks!

Chris

On Dec 18, 2020, at 8:54 AM, distcrt2nd@cocherokee.org wrote:

Dr. James Low Medical Director, Cherokee County

Jimmy,

We have just been told that our COVID-19 Operating Plans need to be **re-certified** prior to Jan. 1, 2021. I have attached the plans that we approved in 2020. Please let me know if you recommend any changes in light of worsening conditions in our county.

Specifically, we are to re-certify whether the plan(s) still provide sufficient health and safety protocols to permit in-person proceedings, whether current local pandemic conditions are conducive to in-person proceedings, and what any additional precautions should be taken when those proceedings are conducted.

Thanks,

Chris

Judge R. Chris Day 2nd Judicial District Court Cherokee County Courthouse 135 S. Main Street Rusk, Texas 75785 (903) 683-2236 (903) 683-2238 (fax)