

#16,606HC-6

Richard M. King, Jr.
Petitioner/Plaintiff for Writ of
Habeas Corpus #6

In The 6th District Court in
and for Lamar County, Texas

JUDGMENT

The Court having considered the pleadings, letters, Oaths and affidavits on file, to wit:

1. Letter dated March 7, 2006 addressed to Gary Young from Petitioner Richard M. King, Jr. and filed on March 27, 2006;
2. Petition for Quo Warranto filed by the Court as a Motion for Habeas Corpus on March 27, 2006;
3. Plaintiff's Memorandum in Support of his Motion to Recuse filed April 17, 2006 and denied on April 18, 2006
4. Motion for the Appointment of Counsel filed April 17, 2006 and denied April 18, 2006;
5. Affidavit of facts by Richard M. King, Jr. dated April 14, 2006 and filed on May 3, 2006;
6. Affidavit dated August 1, 2005 by Ruby Latimer attached to and made a part of the Affidavit of Richard M. King, Jr. dated April 14, 2006;
7. Affidavit dated July 19, 2005 by Richard M. King, Jr. attached to and made a part of the Affidavit of Richard M. King, Jr. dated April 14, 2006;
8. Affidavit dated August 21 2005 by Michael King attached to and made a part of the Affidavit of Richard M. King, Jr. dated April 14, 2006;
9. The Oath of Office by Judge Webb Biard dated July 19, 1999;
10. Affidavit to Serve on Judicial Assignment dated January 2, 1997;
11. Application to Continue Eligibility for Judicial Assignment dated January 1, 1997 by Judge Webb Biard.
12. Affidavit of Marvin Ann Patterson, Lamar County District Clerk, dated May 14, 2006 and filed on May 12, 2006;
13. Affidavit of Gary Young dated April 13, 2006 and filed April 13, 2006 with Exhibit A attached, being the copy of the letter from Richard M. King, Jr. to Gary Young date March 7, 2006 (same item listed in no. 1, above.)

The Court having considered all the above listed thirteen items, and further having reviewed from the records of this court the five previous applications for habeas corpus filed by Richard M. King, Jr. together with two divorce cases (one of which was divided into two cases) involving him. The Court notes that in Richard M. King, Jr.'s pleading designated as Plaintiff's Memorandum in Support of His Motion to Recuse, petitioner/ Plaintiff pleads that only one of the prior divorce cases involved him but failed to designate which one.

The court finds the following facts:

1. Richard M. King, Jr., while in the custody of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice, brought the said Writ of Quo Warranto in bad faith for the purpose of harassing the Lamar County District Clerk Marvin Ann Patterson, Lamar County Attorney Gary Young and District Judge Webb Biard, the visiting judge who heard the original Cause #16,606.

FILED FOR RECORD
2006 MAY 17 A 10:45
MARVIN ANN PATTERSON
DISTRICT CLERK
LAMAR COUNTY TEXAS

2. Richard M. King, Jr., while in the custody of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice, wrote the said letter dated March 7, 2005 in bad faith for the purpose of harassing the Lamar County District Clerk Marvin Ann Patterson;
3. The Petition for Quo Warranto is hereby dismissed as a frivolous or malicious lawsuit brought by Richard M. King, Jr., while in the custody of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice, in bad faith for the purpose of harassing the Lamar County District Clerk Marvin Ann Patterson.
4. The bad faith pleadings and harassment by Richard M. King, Jr. are disrupting the functions in the offices of the Lamar County Attorney, Lamar County District Clerk and 6th District Court and requiring time that needs to be devoted to other cases.
5. There is sufficient evidence to investigate Richard M. King, Jr.'s brother for misrepresenting himself to the Lamar County District Clerk's office as an attorney, when he was not. This matter is to be reported to the Texas State Bar Committee that deals with the Unlawful Practice of Law for prosecution.

The Court further finds as a matter of law that the relief sought by Richard M. King, Jr. in the motions listed above is not proper either under existing law or any reasonable extensions of existing law.

The Court hereby finds that Richard M. King, Jr. through a continuing course of action has and will continue to file frivolous or malicious lawsuits unless prevented from doing so by this Court. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed that this Court will no longer accept pleadings or other materials for filing with the Clerk. All materials addressed to or routed to the Lamar County District Clerk by anyone other than Judge Jim D. Lovett shall no longer be filed and shall be destroyed.

All future pleadings, briefs, letters, claims and all other materials from or on behalf of Richard M. King, Jr. or any other person not currently licensed to practice law by the State of Texas must be addressed to or delivered directly to Judge Jim D. Lovett for a preliminary determination of whether Richard M. King, Jr. is entitled to the relief sought or that Richard M. King, Jr. can make a reasonable argument for the extension of existing law for such relief. Any violations of this ruling will be dealt with by the contempt powers of this court for incarceration of Richard M. King, Jr. in the Lamar County jail after his release from the penitentiary.

All materials determined to be appropriate by Judge Jim D. Lovett will be ordered filed in the 6th District Court and will be heard in due courser.

The latest pleadings filed by Richard M. King, Jr. styled *Notice of Appeal and Motion to Remove Jurisdiction to the United States District Court, Paris Division*, state no viable causes of action or raise any reasonable arguments that the existing law can be expanded to include them and they are denied without hearing or appeal.

Entered this 17th day of May, 2006.



JIM D. LOVETT,
Judge, 6th District Court