PAGE

2015 OCT 14 PM 3: 45

### **CAUSE NUMBER 241-1467-12**

STATE OF TEXAS

§

IN THE 241ST JUDICIAL

VS.

S

DISTRICT COURT OF

JAMES CALVERT

§

SMITH COUNTY, TEXAS

Capital Murder, Capital Felony as charged in the Indictment

# **JUDGMENT**

JUDGMENT DATE: OCTOBER 14, 2015

JUDGE PRESIDING: JACK SKEEN, JR.

ATTORNEY FOR STATE:

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT AT TRIAL:

MATT BINGHAM

**JEFF HAAS** 

**APRIL SIKES** 

JASON CASSEL

OFFENSE CHARGED: <u>CAPITAL MURDER</u>

DATE OFFENSE

CONVICTED OF: CAPITAL MURDER

COMMITTED: OCTOBER 31, 2012

DEGREE: CAPITAL FELONY

CHARGING INSTRUMENT: INDICTMENT

PLEA: NOT GUILTY

TERMS OF PLEA BARGAIN NONE

DATE SENTENCE FORMALLY PRONOUNCED

AND IMPOSED: OCTOBER 14, 2015

COURT COSTS: \$324.00

SUBJECT TO MANDATORY APPEAL AS PROVIDED BY STATUTE

PUNISHMENT AND PLACE OF CONFINEMENT: DEATH SENTENCE

DEFENDANT ORDERED HELD IN THE TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE-INSTITUTIONAL DIVISION UNIT UNTIL EXECUTED PURSUANT TO LAW AND THE JUDGMENT AND SENTENCE OF THE COURT.

TIME OF CONFINEMENT TO DATE OF JUDGMENT: 1078 DAYS

RESTITUTION: NOT APPLICABLE

# JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION AND DEATH SENTENCE

The Defendant having been indicted in the above entitled and numbered cause for the felony offense of <u>CAPITAL MURDER</u> as charged in the indictment, a Capital Felony, and this cause being called for jury selection on April 23, 2015, the State appeared by her Criminal District Attorney MATT BINGHAM and Assistant District Attorney APRIL SIKES, and the Defendant JAMES <u>CALVERT</u>, appeared in person in a Pro Se capacity, and his stand-by counsel <u>JEFF HAAS AND</u> JASON CASSEL, also being present and both parties announced ready and jury selection commenced, and the Defendant in open Court was duly arraigned and pleaded Not Guilty to the charge of Capital Murder as contained in the indictment herein, thereupon a jury, to-wit: Larry Carson, and eleven others, were duly selected, along with two alternate jurors, and upon completion of jury selection the jury was impaneled and sworn. On August 25, 2015, the Court proceeded with the trial with the reading of the indictment, the defendant entering his plea of "Not Guilty," opening statements, and introduction of evidence. The jury, who having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, and after hearing argument of counsel, retired in the charge of the proper officer to consider their verdict, and afterward were brought into open Court, by the proper officer, the Defendant and his counsel being present, and in due form of law returned into open Court the following unanimous verdict, which was received by the Court, and is here and now entered upon the minutes of the Court, to wit:

WE, THE JURY, find the defendant, JAMES CALVERT, GUILTY, of the offense of CAPITAL MURDER as charged in the indictment.

/S/ LARRY CARSON FOREMAN The Defendant having been found guilty of Capital Murder by the verdict of the jury and thereupon further evidence being heard by the jury on the special issues pertaining to punishment, the Court again charged the jury as provided by law on the following special issues:

## SPECIAL ISSUE NO. 1

Is there a probability that the defendant, JAMES CALVERT, would commit criminal acts of violence that would constitute a continuing threat to society?

# SPECIAL ISSUE NO. 2

Taking into consideration all of the evidence, including the circumstances of the offense, the defendant's character and background, and the personal moral culpability of the defendant, is there sufficient mitigating circumstance or circumstances to warrant that a sentence of life imprisonment rather than a death sentence be imposed?

The jury, after hearing evidence and arguments of counsel, retired in charge of the proper officer to consider their verdict and afterwards were brought into open Court by the proper officer; the Defendant and his counsel being present and in due form of law returned into open Court the following verdict, which was received by the Court and is here and now entered upon the minutes of the Court, to-wit:

# ANSWER TO SPECIAL ISSUE NUMBER 1:

We, the jury unanimously find and determine beyond a reasonable doubt that the answer to this Special Issue No. 1 is "YES."

/s/ LARRY CARSON FOREMAN

### ANSWER TO SPECIAL ISSUE NUMBER 2:

We, the jury unanimously find and determine that the answer to Special Issue No. 2 is "NO."

# /s/ LARRY CARSON FOREMAN

#### JURY CERTIFICATE

WE, THE JURY, RETURN IN OPEN COURT THE ABOVE ANSWER OR ANSWERS AS OUR ANSWER OR ANSWERS TO THE SPECIAL ISSUE OR SPECIAL ISSUES SUBMITTED TO US, AND THE SAME IS OUR VERDICT IN THIS CASE.

## /s/ LARRY CARSON FOREMAN

IT IS THEREFORE considered and adjudged by the Court, that the said Defendant is guilty of the offense of Capital Murder, as charged in the indictment, a Capital Felony; and that the said Defendant committed said offense on or about the 31<sup>st</sup> day of October, 2012, as found by the jury, and that he be punished, as has been determined by the jury's answers to the special issues and in accordance with State law by imposition of the sentence of DEATH, according to the law.

THEREUPON the said Defendant was informed by the Court of the mandatory appeal of this Judgment and Sentence to the Texas Court of Criminal Appeals as provided by law and rights to an 11.071 Application for Writ of Habeas Corpus, and further the said Defendant was asked by the Court whether he had anything to say why said sentence should not be pronounced against him, subject to the mandatory appeal and mandate, and he answered nothing in bar thereof, and it appearing to the Court that the Defendant is mentally competent and understands the English language, the Court proceeded, then in the presence of the Defendant, his counsel, and counsel for the State, to pronounce sentence, subject to mandatory appeal, against her as follows:

IT IS THE ORDER OF THE COURT, that the said Defendant, JAMES CALVERT is guilty of the offense of CAPITAL MURDER, as charged in the indictment, a Capital Felony; and that the said Defendant, JAMES CALVERT, committed said offense of CAPITAL MURDER on or about the 31<sup>st</sup> day of October, 2012, as found by the jury, and that he be punished, as has been determined by the jury's answers to the special issues along with Texas law, by imposition of the punishment of DEATH, according to the law, and said Defendant is remanded to the Sheriff of Smith County, Texas to be delivered to the Director of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice, Institutional Division, or other person legally authorized to receive said Defendant, to be held until a date to be

determined and ordered by this Court should the appeal in this cause be affirmed and the Mandate of same is returned to the Clerk of this Court, whereupon a Death Warrant with date of execution shall be ordered as provided by law, and there after the Defendant transported to the appropriate authority for execution as provided by law.

SIGNED ON THIS THE 14th DAY OF OCTOBER, 2015.

HONORABLE JACK SKEEN, JR JUDGE, 241<sup>ST</sup> DISTRICT COURT SMITH COUNTY, TEXAS

NOTICE OF APPEAL: MANDATED BY TEXAS LAW from date of Judgment of Death October 14, 2015